(BS) INSTITUTE OF INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONALS

A wholly owned subsidiary of ICSI and registered with IBBI

IBC KNOWLEDGE CAPSULE 20 Framework for "Disciplinary Mechanism" under IBC

Introduction:

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 envisages the role of a new professional known as the 'Insolvency Professional'. The role of Insolvency Professional is crucial, his conduct may affect the interest of various stakeholders or he may fail to comply with the provisions of the IBC, 2016, rules, regulations, guidelines or orders issued thereunder. Such an important Professional cannot be left non-regulated, therefore it is necessary to have an objective, credible mechanism which does not spare any misconduct, while it does not penalize an honest conduct of an Insolvency Professional. This capsule discusses the disciplinary mechanism under IBC and the disciplinary actions taken against Insolvency Professionals so far.

The IBBI exercises regulatory oversight over IPAs, IPs and IU. IPAs also regulate IPs. Therefore, the IBC provides for a two-tier regulatory regime for the IPs, the IBBI and the IPAs which are regulated by the IBBI.

The process of Disciplining the IPs by IBBI is comprised in Section 217, 218, 219, 220 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, IBBI (Inspection and Investigation) Regulations, 2017 and IBBI (Grievance and Complaint Handling Procedure) Regulations, 2017.

The available framework with important provisions and orders passed by IBBI in its disciplinary proceedings are summarized in the table below.

The table has been color coded as follows:

Provisions of the Code	
Rules/Regulations under the Code	
Orders passed by IBBI in its disciplinary proceedings	
Circulars/Notifications/Reports	

TABLE: "Disciplinary Mechanism" under IBC

<u>Source</u>	<u>Details /Excerpts</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
Section 217 of the Code	217. Complaints against insolvency professional agency or	The Code lays down procedure for
	its member or information utility Any person aggrieved by	complaint by any person aggrieved by
	the functioning of an insolvency professional agency or	the functioning of IRP/RP or on
	insolvency professional or an information utility may file a	contravention by IRP/RP of any
	complaint to the Board in such form, within such time and in	provisions of the Code or directions of
	such manner as may be specified.	IBBI under section 217 of the Code.
Section 218 of the Code	218. Investigation of insolvency professional agency or its	Section 218 allows IBBI to order
	member or information utility.	inspection or investigation either on
		receipt of a complaint or when it has
		reasonable ground to believe that an IP
		has contravened any provision of the
		law. Thus, the Board can take cognizance of a contravention <i>suo moto</i>
		and order an inspection
Section 220 of the Code	220. Appointment of disciplinary committee. – (1) The Board	A Disciplinary Committee is appointed
Section 220 of the code	shall constitute a disciplinary committee to consider the reports	by the IBBI to look into the report
	of the investigating Authority submitted under sub-section (6) of	prepared by Investigating Authority
	section 218	under section 220 of Code.
Regulation 11(1) of the		This Regulation also gives power to the
IBBI (IP) Regulations,	material otherwise available on record, if the Board is of the	Board to take up <i>suo moto</i> cognizance
2016	prima facie opinion that sufficient cause exists to take actions	of a matter.
	permissible under section 220, it shall issue a show-cause notice	
	to the insolvency professional.	
Insolvency and	With about 14 provisions, it provides how the process of	Full text available at:
Bankruptcy Code, 2016,	inspection of Insolvency Professionals will be conducted by	https://ibbi.gov.in//webadmin/pdf/leg
IBBI (Inspection and	IBBI. It also provides the procedure and contents for show	alframwork/2017/Sep/14th%20Jun%
Investigation)	cause notices to be issued by IBBI.	2017%20Insolvency%20and%20Bankr
Regulations, 2017		<u>uptcy%20Board%20of%20India%20[I</u>
		nspection%20and%20Investigation)%
		20Regulations,%202017 2017-09- 25%2014:24:46.pdf
IBBI (Grievance and	With about 8 provisions, it provides the system in which	Full text available at:
Complaint Handling	investigation and inspection is done to target a specific	https://ibbi.gov.in//webadmin/pdf/leg
Procedure) Regulations,	complaint or grievance that is received by IBBI against the	alframwork/2017/Dec/180723 2017-

2017.	Insolvency Professional.	12-09%2009:59:43.pdf
Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India (IBBI) Vs. Shri Rishi Prakash Vats & Ors	Once a disciplinary proceeding was initiated by the IBBI on the basis of evidence on record, it was for the Disciplinary Authority, i.e., IBBI to close the proceeding or pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Such power having been vested with IBBI and in absence of any power with the Adjudicating Authority/ (National Company Law Tribunal), the Adjudicating Authority could not quash the proceeding, even if proceeding was initiated at the instance and recommendation made by the Adjudicating Authority/ National Company Law Tribunal.	Link for full text: https://ibbi.gov.in//webadmin/pdf/order/2019/Jul/Insolvency%20and%20Bankruptcy%20Board%20of%20India%20(IBBI)%20Vs%20Shri%20Rishi%20Prakash%20Vats%20&%20Ors.%20CA%20(AT)%20(Insolvency)%20No.324-20192019-07-14%2010:48:26.pdf
Order No. IBBI/DC/26/2020 dated 08.06.2020	As per the obligations imposed by section 208(2)(a) of the Code, it is the duty of the RP to take reasonable care and diligence while performing his duties. However, the RP not only failed to bring to the notice of the CoC the embargo imposed on the transfer of the assets of the CD during CIRP under section 14 of the Code but also allowed the moratorium to be violated continuously by letting the EMIs to be deducted out of the cash flows/rental income of the CD. This indicates RP's casualness and negligence in performing his duty as RP misunderstanding of law.	Penalty: IP shall pay a penalty equal to 25% of fee payable to him as per agreed terms and conditions in CIRPs of GGL, NBL and NWL where he has acted as an IRP/RP. The penalty amount shall be deposited by a crossed demand draft payable in favor of the "Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India" within 45 days of this order. The Board in turn shall deposit the penalty amount in the Consolidated Fund of India. IP shall also undergo pre-registration educational course from the IPA of which he is a member and pass the Limited Insolvency Examination again to build his capacity to take up assignments on his own.
Order No. IBBI/DC/25/2020 dated 02.06.2020	The RP had appointed two unregistered entities as Registered Valuers of the CD on 23rd February 2019 in contravention of Regulation 27 of the CIRP Regulations and IBBI Circular IBBI/RV/019/2018 dated 17.10.2018 and an unauthorised transaction made in favor of Ireo Waterfront Pvt. Ltd. was within the knowledge of RP. However, the RP had not taken any action for 245 days towards correcting the unauthorised	Professional was suspended for three months

Order No. IBBI/DC/24/2020 dated 30.05.2020		
Order No. IBBI/DC/23/2020 dated 27.04.2020	1	Penalty: Suspended the registration of Insolvency Professional for six months. Directed the Resolution Professional to secure reimbursement of the amount which was paid to lender's legal counsel and charged to IRPC. Directed the Resolution Professional to secure reimbursement of the amount which was paid to professional for conducting second forensic audit and charged to IRPC.
Order No. IBBI/DC/22/2020 dated 21.04.2020		Penalty: Directed the Insolvency Professional to deposit the amount in the Liquidation Estate of CD which he

	permitted conduct of third valuation upon the desire of CoC despite of the fact that there was no significant difference between the two valuations. He further incurred additional financial costs upon an over-burdened CD. As per IBBI (Liquidation Process) Regulations, 2016, in cases where the Liquidator fees has not been decided by the CoC, then the liquidator is entitled to a fee as per the table provided in the Regulations. The IP continued to charge the same fees during liquidation process which he was charging while acting as an RP.	has drawn without any authorization while acting as liquidator. However, the IP can claim liquidator fees as per IBBI (Liquidation Process) Regulations, 2016.
Order No. IBBI/DC/21/2020 dated 20.04.2020	, 55	Penalty: Imposed monetary penalty of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lakh only).
Order No. IBBI/DC/20/2020 dated 20.03.2020		Penalty: Imposed monetary penalty of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lakh only).
Order No. IBBI/DC/15/2019-20 dated 14.11.2019	The IP failed to make disclosures with respect to appointment	Penalty: Imposed penalty of ten percent of the RP's fee Directed the RP to make good the loss by securing reimbursement and deposit the amount of Rs. 12,09,90,185/- in the account of Corporate Debtor.
Order No. IBBI/DC/16/2019 dated 17.04.2019	The IP attempted to charge abnormally high fee in relation to	Penalty: Suspended the registration of the Insolvency Professional for two

	He, then IRP signed the term sheet with the applicant, who is not legally competent to appoint RP or fix his fee, and thereby attempted to deprive the CoC of its legitimate right to appoint a RP of its choice and fix his fee. He did not cooperate with Inspecting Authority He filed applications for initiating CIRP of 14 CDs and proposed to appoint his spouse, as IRP of CIRP of all 14 CDs. He failed to avoid conflict of interest, and act with integrity and independence.	years. He was also directed to undergo the pre-registration educational course conducted by Insolvency Professional Agency.
Order N IBBI/DC/15/2019 date 21.02.2019		Penalty: Cancelled the registration of IP and debarred her from seeking fresh registration as an IP or providing any service under IBC for ten years.
Order N IBBI/DC/14/2018 date 28.01.2019	Debtors as going concern. He did not submit progress report to Adjudicating Authority in time, make public announcement in time, appoint registered valuers, prepare and circulate information memorandum, invite resolution plans. He resigned as Resolution Professional without prior permission of the Adjudicating Authority.	Penalty: Imposed monetary penalty equal to the total fee payable to Insolvency Professional and directed to undergo the pre-registration educational course conducted by Insolvency Professional Agency.
Order N IBBI/DC/13/2018 date 07.01.2019	The IP displayed a laid-back attitude, gave up even before trying and practically abandoned the CIRP. During his term as RP, he did not do anything, which an RP is required to do, except having one meeting of the CoC and submitting two progress reports and, therefore, contravened provisions of sections 20 and 23 of the Code.	Penalty: Imposed monetary penalty equal to the total fee payable to Insolvency Professional as IRP and as RP.
Order N IBBI/DC/12/2018 date 12.11.2018		Penalty: Cancelled the registration of the IP and debarred him from seeking fresh registration as an IP or providing any service under the IBC, 2016 for ten years

Order IBBI/DC/10/2018 15.10.2018	No. dated	The IP did not have a single meeting of the CoC in his term as the RP. (There was one when he was IRP). The fact that IP did not receive any resolution plan was not informed to the CoC. Instead of working for resolution of the corporate debtor, he worked for its liquidation	Penalty: Imposed monetary penalty equal to the total fee payable to IP as IRP and as RP. Directed to undergo the preregistration educational course conducted by Insolvency Professional Agency
Order IBBI/DC/09/2018 06.09.2018	No. dated	An IP misled the stakeholders of the insolvency and bankruptcy by incorporating a LLP by name, "IBBI Insolvency Practitioners LLP". The name of the LLP is misleading as it gave an impression that the LLP is in some way associated with the IBBI.	Penalty: Suspended the registration of IP for three months. Prohibited to take any new assignment till "IBBI Insolvency Practitioners LLP" is removed from the Company / LLP Master Data of the Ministry of Corporate Affairs
Order IBBI/DC/08/2018 23.08.2018	No. dated	The IP had authorized an LLP of which he was a partner to raise invoices of his professional fees, thereby treating the profession of IP as employment under an entity. The IP was appointed and the firm was neither appointed by CoC nor NCLT.	Penalty: Imposed penalty of one lakh rupees on IP.
Order IBBI/DC/07/2018 23.08.2020	No. dated	IBBI directed the IP to issue fresh advertisement for expression of interest (EoI) but IP did not comply with the direction. The IP disregarded the directions of NCLAT. He engaged in private communication with a financial creditor for finalizing the eligibility criteria in invitation for expression of interest (EoI) while the law required him to take approval of CoC. He outsourced his responsibility to a third person to certify eligibility of resolution applicants. He did not file applications before NCLT in respect of irregular transactions in CD even after having complete information and direction from the CoC to do so, ignoring statutory obligations. He abused his authority to appoint valuers and forensic	Penalty: Cancelled the registration of the IP and debarred him from seeking fresh registration as an IP or providing any service under the IBC, 2016 for ten years.

	auditors who were not independent of the stakeholders.	
Order No.	The IP charged exorbitant fees. The same was not reasonable	Penalty: Suspended the registration of
IBBI/DC/04/2018 dated	reflection of work done.	IP for a period of one year.
03.05.2018	She misled an operational creditor to sign term sheet engaging	
	her as RP and fixing her fees even before commencement of	
	the CIRP.	
	She attempted to misled the stakeholders, IBBI and the	
	Disciplinary Committee	
Order No. IBBI/Ref-	The IP did not consider the claim of claimant and even failed to	Penalty: Imposed a monetary penalty
Disc.Comm./02/2018 dated	respond to claimant despite follow up.	equal to one tenth of the total fee
13.04.2018	He disregarded the timelines provided under the code.	payable to him as IRP and RP.
	He disregarded repeated requests of the Board for a response	
	on the complaint.	
The Report of the	"the Committee believes that a new model of "regulated self	Link for full report:
Bankruptcy Law Reforms	regulation" is optimal for the IP profession. This means	https://ibbi.gov.in/BLRCReportVol1_0411201
Committee (BLRC)	creating a two tier structure of regulation. The Regulator will	5.pdf
(November 2015)	enable the creation of a competitive market for IP agencies	
	under it. This is unlike the current structure of professional	
	agencies which have a legal monopoly over their respective	
	domains. The IP agencies under the Board will, within the	
	regulatory framework defined, act as self-regulating	
	professional bodies that will focus on developing the IP	
	profession for their role under the Code. They will induct IPs as	
	their members, develop professional standards and code of	
	ethics under the Code, audit the functioning of their members,	
	discipline them and take actions against them if necessary.	
	These actions will be within the standards that the Board will	
	define. The Board will have oversight on the functioning of these	
	agencies and will monitor their performance as regulatory	
	authorities for their members under the Code. If these agencies	
	are found lacking in this role, the Board will take away their	
	registration to act as IP agencies."	

Notes:

Abbreviations used:

IBBI: Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India

IPA: Insolvency Professional Agency

ICSI IIP: ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals

IP: Insolvency Professional

CIRP: Corporate insolvency resolution process

IRP: Interim Resolution Professional AR: Authorised Representative COC: Committee of Creditors

CD: Corporate Debtor FC: Financial Creditor OC: Operational Creditor

Code: Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016

Disclaimer: Due care has been taken to avoid errors or omissions. In spite of this errors may still persist. ICSI IIP shall not be responsible for any loss or damage resulting from any action taken on the basis of this document. To avoid any doubt it is suggested that the reader should cross check the contents with original Government notifications.