(CS) INSTITUTE OF INSOLVENCY PROFESSIONALS

A wholly owned subsidiary of ICSI and registered with IBBI

IBC KNOWLEDGE CAPSULE 14 Framework for Group Insolvency under IBC, 2016

Introduction:

Group Insolvency is a framework where if multiple entities of a single corporate group go insolvent, their resolutions can be consolidated in one court so that firstly, the group can be restructured as a whole and secondly, its combined assets can be utilised in the best interest of both the group corporate and the debtor. This structure allows substantive consolidation which enables clubbing of assets and liabilities of the group members in a way that they can be treated as a single economic organism.

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code ('Code') provides detailed provisions to deal with the insolvency of a corporate debtor on standalone basis, it does not envisage a framework to either synchronize insolvency proceedings of different corporate debtors in a group or resolve their insolvencies together. Consequently, the insolvency of different corporate debtors belonging to the same group is dealt with through separate insolvency proceedings for each corporate debtor. However, in the insolvency resolution of some corporate debtors special issues arose from their interconnections with other group companies. While the Code is silent about group insolvency, the courts are trying to fill in this lacuna through judicial pronouncements. Group Insolvency can be tackled by either Procedural Co-ordination or Substantive Consolidation. The process of procedural co-ordination is what the Indian courts have adopted in most group insolvency cases that have been tackled.

The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India ('**IBBI**') constituted a Working Group on Group Insolvency on January 17, 2019 which submitted its recommendations for the framework of procedure of group companies as 'Report of the Working Group on Group Insolvency' on September 23, 2019.

The following table shows the provisions (Code/Regulations/Notifications/Circulars) under the IBC framework and the case laws that deal with the Group Insolvency under IBC.

The table has been color coded as follows:

Provisions of the Code	
Regulations/Rules	
Case laws	
Circulars/Notifications and Others	

TABLE: Group Insolvency under IBC

<u>Source</u>	<u>Details</u>	Explanation
Section 60(2) and	(2) Without prejudice to sub-section (1) and notwithstanding	These provisions provide that the insolvency
60(3) of the Code	anything to the contrary contained in this Code, where a	proceedings of a debtor company and its
	corporate insolvency resolution process or liquidation	guarantor would be dealt with by the same
	proceeding of a corporate debtor is pending before a National	Adjudicating Authority. This may also enable
	Company Law Tribunal, an application relating to the	linking of proceedings in those cases where
	insolvency resolution or liquidation or bankruptcy of a	the debtor and guarantor are part of the same
	corporate guarantor or personal guarantor, as the case may	group of companies.
	be, of such corporate debtor shall be filed before the National	
	Company Law Tribunal.	
	(3) An insolvency resolution process or liquidation or	
	bankruptcy proceeding of a corporate guarantor or personal	
	guarantor, as the case may be, of the corporate debtor	
	pending in any court or tribunal shall stand transferred to the	
	Adjudicating Authority dealing with insolvency resolution	
	process or liquidation proceeding of such corporate debtor.	
Section 18(f) of	(f) take control and custody of any asset over which the	This section along with Section 36

the Code	corporate debtor has ownership rights as recorded in the balance sheet of the corporate debtor, or with information utility or the depository of securities or any other registry that records the ownership of assets including – (i) assets over which the corporate debtor has ownership rights which may be located in a foreign country; (ii) assets that may or may not be in possession of the corporate debtor; (iii) tangible assets, whether movable or immovable; (iv) intangible assets including intellectual property; (v) securities including shares held in any subsidiary of the corporate debtor, financial instruments, insurance policies; (vi) assets subject to the determination of ownership by a court or authority;	(Liquidation Estate) give control of the shares of the subsidiary to the resolution professional and liquidator of the parent company. Further, a resolution plan of a parent company would deal with the assets of the company, which could include its shares in subsidiary companies. A successful resolution applicant could also receive the control of these securities.
Section 5(24) of the Code		The Code defines related party in relation to corporate debtors to inter alia include holding-subsidiary companies, companies in which directors or managers have shareholding, companies controlling each other by virtue of contracts, companies with whom there may be de facto association in the form of participation in policy making process, interchange of employees, etc.

	(g) any limited liability partnership or a partnership firm whose partners or employees in the ordinary course of business, acts on the advice, directions or instructions of a	
	director, partner or manager of the corporate debtor; (h) any person on whose advice, directions or instructions, a director, partner or manager of the corporate debtor is	
	accustomed to act;	
	(i) a body corporate which is a holding, subsidiary or an	
	associate company of the corporate debtor, or a subsidiary of a holding company to which the corporate debtor is a	
	subsidiary;	
	(j) any person who controls more than twenty per cent. of	
	voting rights in the corporate debtor on account of ownership	
	or a voting agreement; (k) any person in whom the corporate debtor controls more	
	than twenty per cent. of voting rights on account of	
	ownership or a voting agreement;	
	(l) any person who can control the composition of the board of directors or corresponding governing body of the	
	corporate debtor;	
	(m) any person who is associated with the corporate debtor	
	on account of-	
	(i) participation in policy making processes of the corporate debtor; or	
	(ii) having more than two directors in common between the	
	corporate debtor and such person; or (iii) interchange of	
	managerial personnel between the corporate debtor and such	
	person; or	
	(iv) provision of essential technical information to, or from, the corporate debtor.	
Proviso to Section	(2) The committee of creditors shall comprise all financial	To avoid perverse behavior, longer time-
21(2) of the Code	creditors of the corporate debtor:	limits are prescribed for the application of
	Provided that a financial creditor or the authorised	avoidance provisions in case of transactions

representative of the financial creditor referred to in subsection (6) or sub-section (6A) or sub-section (5) of section 24, if it is a related party of the corporate debtor, shall not have any right of representation, participation or voting in a meeting of the committee of creditors:

Provided further that the first proviso shall not apply to a financial creditor, regulated by a financial sector regulator, if it is a related party of the corporate debtor solely on account of conversion or substitution of debt into equity shares or instruments convertible into equity shares or completion of such transactions as may be prescribed, prior to the insolvency commencement date.

with related persons, and prohibitions in section 21 target the ability of related parties to vote as part of the CoC. Even transactions with related parties during the insolvency resolution period require approval of the CoC by virtue of section 28.

Section 29A (j) of the Code

- (j) has a connected person not eligible under clauses (a) to (i). Explanation [I]. For the purposes of this clause, the expression "connected person" means—
- (i) any person who is the promoter or in the management or control of the resolution applicant; or
- (ii) any person who shall be the promoter or in management or control of the business of the corporate debtor during the implementation of the resolution plan; or
- (iii) the holding company, subsidiary company, associate company or related party of a person referred to in clauses (i) and (ii):

Provided that nothing in clause (iii) of Explanation I shall apply to a resolution applicant where such applicant is a financial entity and is not a related party of the corporate debtor:

Provided further that the expression "related party" shall not include a financial entity, regulated by a financial sector regulator, if it is a financial creditor of the corporate debtor and is a related party of the corporate debtor solely on account of conversion or substitution of debt into equity shares or instruments convertible into equity shares or

To avoid perverse behavior, longer timelimits are prescribed for the application of avoidance provisions in case of transactions with related persons, and prohibitions in sections 29A target the ability of related parties to submit a plan for the resolution of the company.

	completion of such transactions as may be prescribed, prior	
	to the insolvency commencement date;	
Edelweiss Asset	The plea taken in the case was of "simultaneous 'Corporate	Link for full order:
Reconstruction	Insolvency Resolution Processes' should continue against them	https://ibbi.gov.in//uploads/order/e43157f6
Company Limited	under the guidance of same 'Resolution Professional'."	0f13a1679d4efb03b8d3a908.pdf
v. Sachet		·
Infrastructure Pvt.	It was submitted that the land of all corporate debtors should	
Ltd. & Ors	be taken over by the same Resolution Professional and	
Company Appeal	consolidated Resolution Plans should be invited to keep the	
(AT) (Insolvency)	company as a going concern.	
Nos. 377 to 385 of	ra fraga garan	
2019- decision	NCLAT held that, "The Adjudicating Authority will admit the	
dated 20.09.2019	applications under Section 7 filed by 'Edelweiss Asset	
4404 2010 712017	Reconstruction Company Limited' against 'Sachet	
	Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.'; 'Magad Realtors Pvt. Ltd.'; 'Mehak	
	Realtech Pvt. Ltd.'; 'Sameeksha Estate Pvt. Ltd.' and 'Jamvant	
	Estates Pvt. Ltd.' and appoint the 'Resolution Professional' of	
	'Adel Landmarks Limited'- (Developer) ('Principal Borrower')	
	as common 'Resolution Professional' to ensure that the	
	'Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process' against 'Adel	
	Landmarks Limited'- ('Corporate Debtor') proceed jointly and	
	'Information Memorandum' is prepared in a manner that the	
	'Residential Plotted Colony' at village Palwal at Sectors 8 & 9 in	
	terms of the License No. 46 of 2009 and License No. 53 of 2009,	
	is completed in one go by initiating a consolidated 'Resolution	
	Plan(s)' for total development."	
Versional Dist	When the Wide on Course were in the Course like	Link for full and or (24.10.2010)
Venugopal Dhoot	When the Videocon Group went insolvent, fifteen different	Link for full order (24.10.2018):
v. State Bank of	resolution applications were filed against its fifteen different	https://ibbi.gov.in//webadmin/pdf/order/2
India & Ors.	group companies.In this case, parties sought that all matters	019/Jan/Venugopal%20N.%20Dhoot%20Vs.
CA-	pertaining to the insolvency resolution of different Videocon	%20State%20Bank%20of%20India%20&%2
1022(PB)/2018-	companies be dealt with by the same Adjudicating Authority	<u>00rs. 0 2019-01-19%2015:40:54.pdf</u>
decision dated	and that there be consolidation of separate proceedings of	

24.10.2018. And State Bank of India & Anr. v. Videocon Industries Ltd. & Ors CP No. 02/2018 & Ors- decision	multiple Videocon companies to treat "the corporate insolvency resolution process as one in respect of all of these companies". The Principal Bench of the National Company Law Tribunal ("NCLT") ordered that all the matters regarding the insolvency resolution processes of these different companies be dealt with by the same bench of the NCLT for the purpose of "avoiding conflicting orders and facilitating the hearing" of these matters.	Link for full order (08.08.2019): https://ibbi.gov.in//uploads/order/48cb509 15c29188847ad3b13f7f6f3d6.pdf
dated 08.08.2019	The Adjudicating Authority ordered on 08.08.2019 that the assets and liabilities of 13 Videocon companies should be substantively consolidated due to common control, common directors, common assets, common liabilities, interdependence, interlacing of finance, co-existence for survival, pooling of resources, intertwined accounts, interloping of debts, singleness of economics of units, common financial creditors and common group of corporate debtors. The 13 Corporate Debtors were looked at as one economic unit on account of various inter-linkages, and consolidated CIRP was undertaken. The Corporate Debtor Group combined and thus, one committee of creditors for all 13 Corporate	
	Debtors was constituted. Videocon case is a classic example of group insolvency carried by the courts in India.	
In the matter of Lanco Infratech Limited IA No. 192-2018 in CP(IB) No. 111-7-HDB-2017	In case of the Lanco Group, wherein while the parent company (i.e. Lanco Infratech Limited) was undergoing CIRP, the subsidiaries including the operational companies were not part of the CIRP initially, which resulted in liquidation of Lanco Infratech Limited due to lack of response by the bidders in the CIRP of Lanco Infratech Limited.	Link for full order (27.08.2018): https://ibbi.gov.in//uploads/order/8b3f38883fde88c 8a122a19fae40a0f8.pdf
Report of Working Group on Group Insolvency, 2019	The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India ('IBBI') constituted a Working Group on Group Insolvency on January 17, 2019 which submitted its recommendations for the	Key observations and recommendations of the Working Group include- • Recommended the definition of 'corporate

framework of procedure of group companies as 'Report of the Working Group on Group Insolvency' on September 23, 2019. The recommendations cover the framework for companies at the insolvency resolution as well as liquidation stage.

- group' which include holding, subsidiary, and associate companies.
- Elements of the proposed framework may include: (i) a joint application against all corporate debtors who have defaulted and are part of a group, (ii) a single insolvency professional and a single adjudicating authority (to reduce to litigation and other costs, and save time), (iii) creation of a creditors' committee. (iv) group communication. cooperation and information sharing among all these members. (v) various and group coordination proceedings.
- Multiple adjudicating authorities or insolvency professionals may be allowed in cases where there are issues such as: (i) conflict of interest, (ii) lack of sufficient resources, or (iii) where stakeholders would get adversely affected.

Available at : https://ibbi.gov.in/uploads/resources/d2b41 342411e65d9558a8c0d8bb6c666.pdf

Conclusion:

In India, though the Code does not provide for specific provisions related to group insolvency, the courts, through its power of judicial interpretation, have come to the rescue and resolved some cases accordingly. However, specific provisions related to this concept needs to be incorporated in the code in order to bring certainty and uniformity in the law.

Notes:

Abbreviations used:

IBBI: Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India

IPA: Insolvency Professional Agency

ICSI IIP: ICSI Institute of Insolvency Professionals

IP: Insolvency Professional

CIRP: Corporate insolvency resolution process

IRP: Interim Resolution Professional

AR: Authorised Representative COC: Committee of Creditors

CD: Corporate Debtor FC: Financial Creditor OC: Operational Creditor

Code: Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016

Disclaimer: Due care has been taken to avoid errors or omissions. In spite of this errors may still persist. ICSI IIP shall not be responsible for any loss or damage resulting from any action taken on the basis of this document. To avoid any doubt it is suggested that the reader should cross check the contents with original Government notifications.